

Information Resonance

by Ulrike Malmendiar and Laura Veldkamp

Discussion by Cecilia Parlatore

NYU Stern

May 19, 2022

Overview

Question: What explains the persistent differences across socio-demographic lines?

Overview

Question: What explains the persistent differences across socio-demographic lines?

- ▶ Popular view: limited attention, cognitive limitations, uneven access to information

Overview

Question: What explains the persistent differences across socio-demographic lines?

- ▶ Popular view: limited attention, cognitive limitations, uneven access to information

This paper Information resonance:

- ▶ The same information is processed differently depending on the messenger
- ▶ People respond more to the experiences of people more "similar" to them

Overview

Question: What explains the persistent differences across socio-demographic lines?

- ▶ Popular view: limited attention, cognitive limitations, uneven access to information

This paper Information resonance:

- ▶ The same information is processed differently depending on the messenger
- ▶ People respond more to the experiences of people more "similar" to them

What does this paper do?

- ▶ Framework to think about information resonance
- ▶ Information resonance vs. information access (differences in information diffusion)
- ▶ Measurement of information resonance
- ▶ Evidence on information resonance from occupational choice

Model

- ▶ Two dates $t = 0, 1$
- ▶ Heterogeneous agents $i = 1, \dots, N$ with characteristics θ^i (*myopic* in dynamic setting)
- ▶ Action $a_{it} \in \{0, 1\}$ with payoff

$$U(a_{it}) = \mathbb{E}[a_{it}z_i + \epsilon_{it} | \mathcal{I}_i],$$

where $z \sim N(\mu_z, \Sigma_z)$ and $\epsilon_{it} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$

Model

- ▶ Two dates $t = 0, 1$
- ▶ Heterogeneous agents $i = 1, \dots, N$ with characteristics θ^i (*myopic* in dynamic setting)
- ▶ Action $a_{it} \in \{0, 1\}$ with payoff

$$U(a_{it}) = \mathbb{E}[a_{it}z_i + \epsilon_{it} | \mathcal{I}_i],$$

where $z \sim N(\mu_z, \Sigma_z)$ and $\epsilon_{it} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$

- ▶ Information set \mathcal{I}_i :
 - ▶ if agent j took an action at $t = 0$, agent i may observe agent j 's **payoff** at date 1

$$a_{j0}z_j + \epsilon_{j1}$$

- ▶ Information access matrix \mathcal{Z}^i with

$$\mathcal{Z}_{jh}^i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if investor } i \text{ observes agent's } j = h \text{ payoff and action 1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ For now full access $\mathcal{Z}^i = \mathcal{Z}^j$ and signals

$$s = \mathcal{Z}z + \epsilon_1$$

Belief updating

- ▶ Belief updating: agents only learn from actions that they see taken

$$\mathbb{E}[z_i | \mathcal{I}_i] = \mu_z + K_i (s^i - \mu_z \mathbf{1}) = \mu_z + K_{ii} (\mathcal{Z}z + \epsilon_1)$$

Belief updating

- ▶ Belief updating: agents only learn from actions that they see taken

$$\mathbb{E}[z_i | \mathcal{I}_i] = \mu_z + K_i (s^i - \mu_z \mathbf{1}) = \mu_z + K_{ii} (\mathcal{Z}z + \epsilon_1)$$

- ▶ Bayesian updating: Kalman gain

$$K_{ii}^* = \left(\mathcal{Z} \Sigma_z \mathcal{Z}' + \sigma_\epsilon^2 I \right)^{-1} \mathcal{Z} \Sigma_z \mathbf{1}_i$$

Belief updating

- ▶ Belief updating: agents only learn from actions that they see taken

$$\mathbb{E}[z_i | \mathcal{I}_i] = \mu_z + K_i (s^i - \mu_z \mathbf{1}) = \mu_z + K_{ii} (\mathcal{Z}z + \epsilon_1)$$

- ▶ Information resonance: “tilted Kalman gain”

$$K_{ii}^R = (\bar{\omega}_i \omega_i) \circ \left(\left(\mathcal{Z} \Sigma_z \mathcal{Z}' + \sigma_\epsilon^2 I \right)^{-1} \mathcal{Z} \Sigma_z \mathbf{1}_i \right),$$

where $\bar{\omega}_i$ is chosen such that $K_{ii}^R \cdot \mathbf{1} = K_{ii}^* \cdot \mathbf{1}$

- ▶ Belief weight depends on distance between characteristics. between agents

$$\omega_{ij}(\theta) = \underbrace{(2 - 2\Phi(\chi \|\theta_i, \theta_j\|))}_{\rho_{ij}} \sigma_\epsilon^2$$

Information diffusion

- ▶ Choice characteristic space is crucial to understand patterns in information diffusion
 - ▶ Geographic space → random adoption
 - ▶ Characteristic space → diffusion
- ▶ Experts vs. role models: reach vs. closeness
- ▶ Network selection: whose action is seen may be correlated with similarity: captured by \mathcal{Z}
 - ▶ selection reinforces the effect of resonance!

Measurement

$$\mathbb{E} [z_j | \mathcal{I}_{it}] = \mu_z + K_i (\mathcal{Z}z + \epsilon_1)$$

Measurement

$$\mathbb{E} [z_j | \mathcal{I}_{it}] = \mu_z + K_i \mathcal{Z}' (z + \epsilon_{it}) + (I - \mathcal{Z}') \epsilon_{it}$$

Measurement

$$\mathbb{E} [z_j | \mathcal{I}_{it}] = \mu_z + K_i \mathcal{Z}' (z + \epsilon_{it}) + (I - \mathcal{Z}') \epsilon_{it}$$

▶ Regression

$$\mathbb{E} [z_j | \mathcal{I}_{it}] = \alpha + \beta_i (z + \epsilon_{it}) + \eta_{it}$$

- ▶ $\mathbb{E} [z_j | \mathcal{I}_{it}]$: agent j 's expected payoffs reported by agent i
- ▶ $z + \epsilon$: self reported payoffs

Measurement

$$\mathbb{E} [z_j | \mathcal{I}_{it}] = \mu_z + K_i \mathcal{Z}' (z + \epsilon_{it}) + (I - \mathcal{Z}') \epsilon_{it}$$

▶ Regression

$$\mathbb{E} [z_j | \mathcal{I}_{it}] = \alpha + \beta_i (z + \epsilon_{it}) + \eta_{it}$$

- ▶ $\mathbb{E} [z_j | \mathcal{I}_{it}]$: agent j 's expected payoffs reported by agent i
- ▶ $z + \epsilon$: self reported payoffs

▶ Matching coefficients

$$\beta_i = K_i \mathcal{Z}' = (\bar{\omega}_i \omega_i) \circ \left(\left(\mathcal{Z} \Sigma_z \mathcal{Z}' + \sigma_\epsilon^2 I \right)^{-1} \mathcal{Z} \Sigma_z \mathcal{Z}' \right)$$

▶ Resonance estimate:

$$\bar{\omega}_i \omega_i = \left(I + \sigma_\epsilon^2 (\mathcal{Z} \Sigma_z \mathcal{Z}')^{-1} \right) \beta_i$$

▶ Need estimates for

- ▶ \mathcal{Z} : information access matrix - ok if actions are observed + full observability
- ▶ Σ_z : payoff covariance

Comments/Suggestions

1. Very nice framework to think about drivers of information diffusion
 - ▶ smart way of modeling resonance to use existing tools
 - ▶ well suited for measurement!
 - ▶ Whose experience will resonate with us? Best way to disseminate information?
 - ▶ ...There is a lot going on!

Comments/Suggestions

1. Very nice framework to think about drivers of information diffusion
 - ▶ smart way of modeling resonance to use existing tools
 - ▶ well suited for measurement!
 - ▶ Whose experience will resonate with us? Best way to disseminate information?
 - ▶ ...There is a lot going on!
2. Agents do not learn from actions not taken: not important under full access

Comments/Suggestions

1. Very nice framework to think about drivers of information diffusion
 - ▶ smart way of modeling resonance to use existing tools
 - ▶ well suited for measurement!
 - ▶ Whose experience will resonate with us? Best way to disseminate information?
 - ▶ ...There is a lot going on!
2. Agents do not learn from actions not taken: not important under full access
3. Measuring information resonance vs information access
 - ▶ With full access, all resonance
 - ▶ Can we separate them with partial access?
 - ▶ Network selection: social connectedness data can make \mathcal{Z} matrix observable (?)
 - ▶ Other moments that may help you measure resonance